From:	Greg Hendryx
То:	Sipes, Eric; Dodson, Timothy (Tim) CIV USARMY CELRN (USA); Hathorn, Stacye
Cc:	Hamilton, Elizabeth A CIV USARMY CESAM (USA); Kristi Soltysiak; Jordon Loucks; Michael Whitehead; Bill Werner
Subject:	[Non-DoD Source] RE: Lowman Pipeline Additional Phase II Research Design Preliminary Review for Approval of Fieldwork (UNCLASSIFIED)
Date:	Tuesday, August 18, 2020 4:27:32 PM
Attachments:	Phase II Research Design Mem Methods Update Aug 2020 email.pdf

Good afternoon Eric,

Thank you for your comments and prompt review of the Phase II Research Design for the Lowman Pipeline. I have attached a revised plan that addresses your comments.

Let me know if there is anything else that we can provide.

Thanks, and have a great evening! -Greg

Greg Hendryx, M.A. Senior Project Manager, Archaeology Division Leader

SEARCH - SEARCH2O 8298 Bayberry Road, Suite 1, Jacksonville, Florida 32256 904-379-8338 ext. 7501 904-345-0307 direct 352-275-8206 cell 904-379-8592 fax greg@searchinc.com Blockedwww.searchinc.com

Archaeology-Maritime Archaeology-Architectural History-History & Archives-Heritage Design

-----Original Message-----From: Sipes, Eric <Eric.Sipes@ahc.alabama.gov> Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 9:15 AM To: Dodson, Timothy (Tim) CIV USARMY CELRN (USA) <Timothy.S.Dodson@usace.army.mil>; Hathorn, Stacye <Stacye.Hathorn@ahc.alabama.gov> Cc: Hamilton, Elizabeth A CIV USARMY CESAM (USA) <Elizabeth.A.Seavoy@usace.army.mil>; Greg Hendryx <greg@searchinc.com> Subject: RE: Lowman Pipeline Additional Phase II Research Design Preliminary Review for Approval of Fieldwork (UNCLASSIFIED)

Tim,

Overall, the proposal for Phase II testing of additional sites for the Lowman Pipeline looks pretty good, we just have a few comments:

1.) There is no discussion of excavation methodology (e.g., sampling methods, natural vs. arbitrary levels, screening, feature excavation, specialized analysis, etc.). Please note that our office requires fine screening (1/8th-inch mesh) of all feature fill that is not part of specialized sampling (e.g., flotation).

2.) There is no discussion of curation of artifacts, field notes, and photographs. Please note that final curation must be in a facility within the State of Alabama, which meets the requirements of 36 CFR Part 79.

3.) Please include an Inadvertent Human Remains Discovery Plan. This should include a timeline for notification of the USACE archaeologist and SHPO, which should occur as soon as feasible after discovery.

Thanks,

Eric D. Sipes Assistant State Archaeologist Historic Preservation Division Alabama Historical Commission 468 South Perry Street Montgomery, AL 36130-0900 (US Post) 36104 (Courier) Ph 334.230.2667, Fax 334.262.1083 Eric.Sipes@ahc.alabama.gov Blockedhttp://ahc.alabama.gov

-----Original Message-----From: Dodson, Timothy (Tim) CIV USARMY CELRN (USA) <Timothy.S.Dodson@usace.army.mil> Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2020 2:25 PM To: Sipes, Eric <Eric.Sipes@ahc.alabama.gov>; Hathorn, Stacye <Stacye.Hathorn@ahc.alabama.gov> Co: Hamilton_Elizabath_A_CIV_USA_PMY_CESAM(USA) <Elizabath_A_Sacusy@usace.army.mil>: Crog

Cc: Hamilton, Elizabeth A CIV USARMY CESAM (USA) <Elizabeth.A.Seavoy@usace.army.mil>; Greg Hendryx <greg@searchinc.com>

Subject: Lowman Pipeline Additional Phase II Research Design Preliminary Review for Approval of Fieldwork (UNCLASSIFIED)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

Hello All,

I just wanted to forward on the Phase II Research Design that was provided to me regarding the additional testing that needs to be performed on some of the newly identified sites during the Phase I of the Lowman Pipeline Project. This research design includes comments from the previous research design that was approved by the USACE and AHC allowing for Search Inc. to conduct the field work.

If you could please proved email approval for Search Inc. to conduct the additional Phase II archaeological investigations on sites identified by the Lowman Pipeline Phase I archaeological survey that would be greatly appreciated.

At this time no effect determinations or eligibly determinations have been made or recommended to either the USACE or AHC.

A draft of the Phase I report is currently under review by the USACE regulatory archaeologist and will be submitted to AHC once completed.

Let me know if anyone has any questions or concerns.

Thank you,

Tim

Timothy Dodson, M.A., RPA Archaeologist Regulatory Division U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 3701 Bell Road Nashville, Tennessee 37214 (Office) 615-369-7512 (Cell) 615-336-2173 (Fax) 615-369-7501

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED







Pages 5 through 100 redacted for the following reasons: (b)(3)